Special Issue: Personal Ornaments in Early Prehistory

Marine and Freshwater Shell Exploitation in the Early Upper Paleolithic: Re-Examination of the Assemblages from Fumane Cave (NE Italy)

MARCO PERESANI

Università di Ferrara, Dipartimento di Studi Umanistici, Sezione di Scienze Preistoriche e Antropologiche, Corso Ercole I d'Este 32, Ferrara I-44100, ITALY; marco.peresani@unife.it

MANUELA FORTE

Università di Ferrara, Dipartimento di Studi Umanistici, Sezione di Scienze Preistoriche e Antropologiche, Corso Ercole I d'Este 32, Ferrara I-44100, ITALY; manuelaforte88@gmail.com

ERMANNO QUAGGIOTTO

Collaborator of the Museo Naturalistico-Archeologico of Vicenza, Contrà S. Corona 4, Vicenza I-36100, ITALY; ermanno.quaggiotto@libero.it

ANDRÉ C. COLONESE

BioArCh, Department of Archaeology, University of York, York YO10 5DD, UNITED KINGDOM; andre.colonese@york.ac.uk

MATTEO ROMANDINI

Università di Ferrara, Dipartimento di Studi Umanistici, Sezione di Scienze Preistoriche e Antropologiche, Corso Ercole I d'Este 32, Ferrara I-44100; and, Dipartimento di Beni Culturali, Università di Bologna, Via degli Ariani 1, Ravenna I-48121, ITALY; matteo.romandini@unibo.it

CRISTINA CILLI

Università degli Studi di Torino, Sistema Museale di Ateneo, Corso Massimo d'Azeglio 52, Torino I10126, ITALY; cristina.cilli@unito.it

GIACOMO GIACOBINI

Università degli Studi di Torino, Sistema Museale di Ateneo, Corso Massimo d'Azeglio 52, Torino I10126, ITALY; giacomo.giacobini@unito.it

submitted: 30 May 2018; accepted 10 November 2018

ABSTRACT

The making and use of personal ornaments has been at the center of a vibrant debate on the origin of modern cognitive behavior associated with Anatomically Modern Humans within and outside Africa. The Aurignacian deposits of Fumane Cave (NE Italy) offer unique archaeological records in which it is possible to observe evidence of the main cultural symbolic features of European Anatomically Modern Humans. The aim of this contribution is to enhance our knowledge about the ethno-cultural diversity of this period by re-examining shell assemblages associated with personal ornaments. Taxonomical, palaeoecological, and taphonomical analyses were performed on a rich assemblage of marine shells retrieved from the Protoaurignacian and Early Aurignacian contexts of Fumane Cave. Over 800 shells (both perforated and unperforated) were recovered, representing 65 different taxa, including 55 gastropods, 9 bivalves, and 1 scaphopod. Direct AMS dating of some perforated shells (Homalopoma sanguineum, Nassarius circumcinctus, and Glycymeris nummaria) show strong consistency with other ¹⁴C dates obtained from the same sedimentary units, demonstrating that shells were collected on beaches dated to the Marine Isotope Stage 3 (MIS3). A range of use-wear traces and ochre residues observed at stereomicroscope and scanning electron microscope levels on well-preserved perforation edges indicates that the shells were systematically manufactured and used as personal ornaments. Although no clear differences have been observed between the two assemblages, comparisons within the techno-complex confirm that the Aurignacian of Fumane Cave was under the influence of the Southern European ethno-linguistic group.

This special issue is guest-edited by Daniella E. Bar-Yosef Mayer (Steinhardt Museum of Natural History and Institute of Archaeology, Tel Aviv University) and Marjolein D. Bosch (McDonald Institute for Archaeological Research, University of Cambridge). This is article #4 of 12.

INTRODUCTION

The making and use of personal ornaments made of I marine shells are one of the most debated topics in the study of the evolution of human social behavior (Bar-Yosef et al. 2009; d'Errico et al. 2009; Kuhn et al. 2001; Taborin 2004; Vanhaeren and d'Errico 2011; Vanhaeren et al. 2013). Especially at the onset of the Upper Palaeolithic in Europe, detailed studies of marine shell beads reveal important information on the social organization, ethno-cultural identities, and exchange networks of the first Anatomically Modern Humans (AMH) (e.g., Álvarez-Fernández 2001; Fritz and Simmonet 1996; Newell et al. 1990; Stiner 2003; Taborin 1993a; Vanhaeren and d'Errico 2003, 2005, 2006, 2013; Vanhaeren et al. 2004; White 1999). This period witnesses for the first time the extensive and systematic manufacture of shell beads in the Aurignacian, despite some evidence reported from the late Mousterian (Peresani et al. 2013; Zilhão et al. 2017) and the Uluzzian (Peresani 2014) in Southern Europe. In a wider comparative perspective with the previous and contemporary cultural complexes, each object displacing a potential symbolic significance might shed light on changes at the human population scale. This potential is also recorded in the Aurignacian sequence of Fumane Cave in NE Italy, an example of the cultural and symbolic traditions developed during the earliest phases of the AMH spread in Europe. To expand our knowledge on the possible significance of marine shells, this work presents the results of an extensive re-examination of the shell assemblages from Fumane Cave, after the last descriptions produced by Gurioli et al. (2005).

ARCHAEOLOGICAL SETTING: FUMANE CAVE

Fumane Cave, excavated since 1988, lies at the foot of the Monti Lessini Plateau in the Venetian Prealps at the northern edge of the Po Plain (Figure 1). Details about the cave's structure, Late Pleistocene stratigraphic sequence, and paleoclimatic significance, as well as its paleontological and cultural content are available in numerous publications (Benazzi et al. 2014; Broglio and Dalmeri 2005; Fiore et al. 2004; López-García et al. 2015; Peresani 2012). A main cave and two associated tunnels preserve a finely-layered sedimentary succession spanning the late Middle Paleolithic and the Early Upper Paleolithic, with structures and densely scattered remains in the Mousterian (Peresani 2012), Uluzzian (Peresani et al. 2016), Protoaurignacian (Layer A2 and correlated units), and Early Aurignacian (Layer D3 and correlated) units. The Protoaurignacian begins at 41.2–40.4 ky cal BP (Higham et al. 2009), containing dwelling structures, red mineral pigment, stone, bone, and antler tools, painted stones, and ornamental objects (Broglio et al. 2006a; 2006b; Cavallo et al. 2017; Gurioli et al. 2005). The lithic implements are regular blades and bladelets produced by direct percussion from carenoid-type, pyramidal, and prismatic unipolar cores. Common retouched tools include end-scrapers, blades, and burins. Retouched bladelets are the typical Protoaurignacian implements (around 80% of the retouched assemblage) (Falcucci et al. 2017; 2018).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The shell assemblages were retrieved during excavations from 1988 to 2006 and in 2014. Most of the specimens were recovered from sediments washed through 2mm sieves and only rarely collected directly by hand from the deposits.

The assemblages are composed of over 800 specimens of marine shells, including entire shells, fragments, and also perforated and unperforated specimens. Most of the specimens were found in the first phase of occupation (Protoaurignacian: Layers A1 and A2) compared to the latest phase (Early Aurignacian: Layers D6, D3–D6, D3a and D3b and D1). It was not possible to identify the associated layers for 13 specimens.

The shells were taxonomically identified using a reference collection (E.Q.) supported by specialized literature (e.g., Doneddu and Trainito 2005; Poppe and Gotto 1993). The nomenclature follows the World Register of Marine Species (<u>http://www.marinespecies.org/index.php</u> — accessed in October 2018). Absolute frequencies were estimated using the Number of Identified Specimens (NISP) and Minimum Number of Individuals (MNI). The index of fragmentation (MNI/NISP) was calculated after dividing the assemblage into complete shells and broken shells. Complete shells included perforated shells and shells missing only small parts.

Taphonomic analyses were performed using a Leica S6D electronic binocular microscope at the Laboratory of Archeozoology and Taphonomy in the Department of Humanities (University of Ferrara). Leica Application Suite EZ 3.0.0 imaging software (University of Ferrara) and a Scanning Electron Microscope (Leo 1430v Electron Microscope Ltd., University of Torino) were used for producing detailed pictures of grooves and use-wear traces, and for measuring the size of the perforations. Pictures were taken using a Canon EOS 600D digital camera with a Canon Macro Lens EF-S 60mm.

The taphonomic study was conducted to distinguish between continental, marine, and anthropogenic alterations. Continental processes include perforations from impact, root etching, carbonate encrustation, and manganese staining, which affect the interior and exterior of shells. Marine modifications can include encrustations, abrasions, and shell ornamentations loss, acid corrosion, and perforations by marine organisms (Alvarez-Fernández 2013; Claassen 1998; Robba and Ostinelli 1976). Others types of perforations also occur due to fractures, dissolution, and decomposition of the shell surface after the death of the organism. In particular, the umbo of bivalves is commonly affected by fragmentation processes due to surf action, whereas in gastropods this kind of fracture occurs randomly. The shape of these fractures can form a hole, generally sub-circular, which in some cases can be similar to anthropogenic modifications. The distinction between marine and anthropogenic perforations was based on the position of the hole, the regular circular contour, and the presence of a regular vertical wall (d'Errico et al. 1993; Robba and Ostinelli 1975; Taborin 1993a).

Technological and use-wear analyses of anthropogenic

66 • PaleoAnthropology 2019



Figure 1. Map showing the position of the Italian sites mentioned in the text: 1) Riparo Mochi, Riparo Bombrini, and Grotta dei Fanciulli; 2) Fumane Cave; 3) Castelcivita Cave; 4) La Cala Cave. Sea level 70m below the present-day coastline (courtesy of S. Ricci, University of Siena), based on the global sea-level curve by Waelbrock et al. (2012), but lacking estimation of post-MIS3 sedimentary thickness and eustatic magnitude.

perforations were performed according to previous studies, taking into account position, shape, rim, and wall of the hole (Bouzouggar et al. 2007; d'Errico et al. 1993, 2009; Taborin 1993a, 1993b; Tátá et al. 2014; Vanhaeren and d'Errico 2001, 2003, 2005; Vanhaeren et al. 2013; White 1989, 2007). We used Taborin's (1993b) approach for mapping the position of perforations and, consequently, the perforations which occur in the so-called E1 and E2 areas were taken into consideration for the use-wear analysis, whereas the perforations in E3, E4, and E5 have not been included because of their uncertain origin (natural or anthropogenic). Hole shapes were categorized as circular regular, sub-circular, sub-rectangular elongated, perpendicular, or randomly oriented in reference to the main shell axis. Hole walls and rims were carefully examined at different levels of magnification to identify manufacturing use-wear traces such as grooves, cracks, exfoliations, smoothing, and pigmentation traces.

RESULTS

TAXONOMIC COMPOSITION OF THE SHELL COLLECTION

Taxonomic identification was carried out on all specimens, including fragments. In total, 66 taxa were identified, of which 55 belonged to gastropods, 9 to bivalves, and 1 to scaphopods. The Protoaurignacian presented the highest taxonomic diversity, with 55 taxa identified, including 45 gastropods, 9 bivalves, and the 1 scaphopod. Moreover, the Protoaurignacian Layer A2 was the only one that contained freshwater species, represented by Theodoxus cfr. danubialis. By contrast, in the Early Aurignacian levels, the taxonomic diversity was comparatively lower, with 35 taxa identified, of which 31 were gastropods and 4 were bivalves (Table 1). The most represented species, present in both Protoaurignacian and Early Aurignacian cultural complexes, was Homalopoma sanguineum (43%), while other common taxa were Tritia pellucida (11%), Tritia incrassata (8%), Glycymeris nummaria (7%), Tritia mutabilis (6%), Clanculus jussieui (5%), Clanculus corallinus (4%), and Tritia neritea (3%). The remaining 19% were represented by other marine species. All marine species are currently distributed in the Mediterranean Sea. Most of the shells were 1-2cm (on average), with only a few measuring 4-5cm. Almost all the species are too small for being collected as food. The species that may have been valued as food source, instead, were few and fragmented and were found in Protoaurignacian layers, including Mytilus galloprovincialis, Patella cfr. ulyssiponensis, Ostreidae, and Cerastoderma cfr. edule. Only Cerastoderma glaucum was found in both cultural complexes.

The majority of shells were complete or partially fragmented. Most of the fragmented shells were retrieved from Protoaurignacian layers, albeit the ratio between fragmented and complete shells does not differ significantly between the two cultural phases (Figure 2). The fragmentation index (MNI/NISP) reveals an excellent overall preservation, with the exception of bivalves (Table 2). The reconstruction of eight different shells was possible by refitting 22 fragments of *Glycymeris nummaria* (Figure 3). Most of the shells maintained their original structure and pigmentation, notably shells of *Homalopoma sanguineum* preserved the original intense red to vivid pink color. Other species with well-preserved pigmentation included *Phorcus articulatus, Clanculus corallinus* and *Clanculus jussieui* (Figure 4).

TAPHONOMY: NATURAL ALTERATIONS

Natural alterations were identified in several specimens from both cultural phases (Figure 5). In detail, regular holes with diameters ranging from 1.2mm to 3.4mm were observed on shells of 9 Tritia mutabilis, 1 Nassarius circumcinctus, 1 Galeodea rugosa, 1 Tritia pellucida, 1 Tritia sp. (named before as Cyclope sp.), and 1 Homalopoma sanguineum. In these cases, the holes occurred randomly over the shells and were possibly produced by marine predators, such as Naticidae and Muricidae (Kubicka et al. 2017). Although no clear evidence of use-wear was observed, the possibility of the natural perforations being used for suspension could not be excluded when situated in E2 positions (see Taborin 1993b). Moreover, micro-pits ascribed to the action of marine sponges were observed on the external surface of some shells and micro-corrosion surfaces, probably produced by Polydora sp. (Álvarez-Fernández 2013), were observed on the shell of *H. sanguineum*. Finally, abrasion of shell apex and ornamentations due to surf action were detected mainly on Tritia sp. (named before as Nassarius sp.), Aporrhais pespelecani, Aplus sp., Ocinebrina sp., Bittium latrelli, Mangelia sp. and Rissoa sp. Similar mechanisms can be ascribed to several shells of *Glycymeris* sp., with eroded margins and umbo.

Other natural alterations were likely post-depositional in nature (see Figure 5). For example, calcium carbonate encrustations were identified on the internal and/or external surface of several shells. Similarly, evidence of manganese punctuations and stains were visible on the internal and external surfaces of some specimens, whereas micro-grooves with rounded profiles produced by plant roots or fungi occurred only in few cases. Finally, evidence of combustion such as micro-fractures, deep cracks, and alteration of the natural pigmentation was identified on specimens belonging to both the cultural complexes but predominantly in the Protoaurignacian (38 specimens in total, most are H. sanguineum) and only 4 in the Early Aurignacian levels. Of the 34 combusted specimens from the Protoaurignacian, only 15 were found scattered within 1m around the combustion structure S14 in Protoaurignacian levels.

TAPHONOMY: ANTHROPOGENIC ALTERATIONS

Of the 588 complete shells, 56% were intentionally perforated. Perforations were mostly represented by holes and related traces, and could be grouped in: 1) sub-circular irregularly-outlined, and 2) sub-rectangular. The first group was the most common and occurred systematically in the E1 or E2 position according to Taborin (1993b). The second group was detected in the incomplete perforation of one shell of *Luria lurida*, found in the Protoaurignacian. The shell showed evidence of an attempted perforation by the *sciage* technique with the use a sharp tool (Figure 6). Another shell of *L. lurida* from the Protoaurignacian Level A2 had a rectilinear fracture in the same position of the specimen described above, however, there was no evidence for an attempt at perforating the shell, probably due to the fracture.

Exfoliations around the holes have been observed on some shells, particularly near the peristome of *H. sanguineum* (Figure 7). According to previous experimental studies (Tátá et al. 2013), exfoliations may have occurred by direct perforation from the internal surface of the shell. Fractures, probably due to manufacture, were observed in broken shells, especially on *H. sanguineum* and on a few *C. jussieui*. This breakage pattern probably occurred in these two species because both have similar shape, structure and thickness, and thus likely have a similar response to the mechanical stress of perforation (Figure 8). However, additional experimental studies aimed to replicate the manufacture of Paleolithic shells at Fumane are required to validate these hypotheses.

The variety of species and their distinctive physical properties suggest that different perforation techniques were used. Based on previous experimental studies at Fumane (Gurioli 2011; Fiocchi, 1998) it appears that: 1) the most efficient perforation on bivalves was indirect percussion from the internal side; 2) for thick shells like *Tritia* sp. (named before as *Nassarius* sp.) and *Phorcus* sp., indirect percussion executed from the external and internal sides was the most common technique; and, 3) for shells that have a thin thickness like *Tritia* sp. (named before as *Cyclo*-

TABLE 1. MARINE AND FRESHWATER (*) SHELLS FROM THE PROTOAURIGNACIAN (PA)AND EARLY AURIGNACIAN (EA) OF FUMANE CAVE.

| Таха | PA | EA |
|--|----|----|
| GASTROPODS | | |
| Aporrhais pespelecani | | x |
| Aplus sp. | x | |
| Jujubinus sp. | x | x |
| Galeodea rugosa | | x |
| Bittium latreillii | x | |
| Bittium reticulatum | x | |
| Cerithiopsis sp. | x | |
| Cerithium vulgatum | x | |
| Homalopoma sanguineum | x | x |
| Mitrella gervillii | | x |
| Luria lurida | x | |
| Epitonium clathrus | | x |
| Diodora graeca | | x |
| Littorina obtusata | x | |
| Littorina saxatilis | x | x |
| Mangelia sp. | | x |
| Episcomitra cornicula | x | |
| Muricidae | x | |
| Ocinebrina cfr. aciculata | | x |
| Ocenebra edwadsii | x | x |
| <i>Ocinebrina</i> sp. | | x |
| Tritia neritea | x | x |
| Tritia pellucida | x | x |
| <i>Tritia</i> sp. (named before as <i>Cyclope</i> sp.) | x | x |
| Nassarius circumcinctus | x | x |
| Tritia corniculum | x | |
| Nassarius sp. | x | |
| Tritia incrassata | x | x |
| <i>Clanculus jussieui</i> (named before as <i>Nassarius jussieui</i>) | x | |
| Tritia mutabilis | x | x |
| Tritia reticulata | | x |
| <i>Tritia</i> sp. (named before as <i>Nassarius</i> sp.) | | x |
| Euspira macilenta | x | x |
| Euspira sp. | x | |
| Naticidae | x | x |
| Tectonatica sagraiana | x | |
| Theodoxus cfr. danubialis* | х | |
| Patella cfr. ulyssiponensis | x | |
| Tricolia pullus | x | |
| Rissoa sp. | х | |
| Rissoa variabilis | x | |

TABLE 1. MARINE AND FRESHWATER (*) SHELLS FROM THE PROTOAURIGNACIAN (PA)AND EARLY AURIGNACIAN (EA) OF FUMANE CAVE (continued).

| Taxa | PA | EA |
|-----------------------------|----|----|
| GASTROPODS | | |
| Trivia arctica | x | |
| Trivia monacha | | x |
| Clanculus corallinus | x | x |
| Clanculus cruciatus | x | x |
| Clanculus jussieui | x | x |
| Clanculus sp. | x | x |
| Steromphala adansonii | x | x |
| Gibbula sp. | x | |
| Gibbula turbinoides | x | |
| Steromphala varia | x | |
| Phorcus articulatus | x | x |
| Phorcus richardi | x | |
| Trochidae | x | |
| Turritella communis | x | x |
| Gasteropoda | x | x |
| BIVALVES | | |
| Cerastoderma cfr. edule | x | |
| Cerastoderma glaucum | x | x |
| Cerastoderma sp. | x | |
| Papillicardium papillosum | x | |
| Glycymeris glycymeris | x | x |
| Glycymeris nummaria | x | x |
| <i>Glycymeris</i> sp. | x | x |
| Mytilus galloprovencialis | x | |
| Ostreidae | x | |
| SCAPHOPODS | | |
| Antalis cfr. inaequicostata | x | |
| Total Taxa | 55 | 35 |

pe sp.), applying pressure with a pointed punch was more efficiently executed from the external surface of the shell.

Oriented striations produced during perforation were identified in the area between the hole and the siphon canal on three *Tritia pellucida* (Figure 9b-d). Their type and position suggest a pattern probably associated with a set of unsuccessful attempts to perforate the shells by punching from the external surface (d'Errico et al. 2009). Oriented striations were also identified on the opposite side of the hole of one specimen of *Tritia pellucida* (Figure 9a). This may have been produced to facilitate the perforation by pressure from the internal surface, a technique commonly used during the Solutrean (Pescaux 2013).

Some shells had the rim of the holes smoothed by use-wear. In the case of gastropods, the rim of the holes was either completely smoothed or partially smoothed in proximity to the peristome. The latter was very visible on one specimen of *Aporrhais pespelecani* and in one of *Tritia mutabilis*, which had grooves on the rim of the hole nearest the peristome (Figure 10). The only scaphopod shell of the whole assemblage was *Antalis* cfr. *inaequicostata*. The extremities of this specimen had been polished, probably after being sawed to produce tubular beads (Figure 11) (Vanhaeren and d'Errico 2001).



Figure 2. Comparison of rates of fragmentations between the Protoaurignacian and the Early Aurignacian shell assemblages.

In three shells of *Glycymeris nummaria*, the rim of the hole in proximity to the umbo and the hinge teeth were polished. The external surfaces were also polished and the original growth lines were no longer visible (Figure 12). This evidence allows supposing that after being perforated the shells were suspended with the internal surface exposed, while the external surface rubbed against a soft material. Furthermore, traces of red pigments were still visible on the internal surface and the rim of the hole of one specimen.

Several shells preserved amorphous red dusty residues,



Figure 4. Example of original pigmentation and ornamentation: a) Phorcus articulatus; *b)* Clanculus jussieui; *c)* Clanculus corallinus; *d)* Homalopoma sanguineum (*found both in the Protoaurignacian and Early Aurignacian levels*) (scale bar 1cm).



Figure 3. Refitted shell of Glycymeris nummaria (found in Level A2, Protoaurignacian) (scale bar 1cm).

probably ochre, in the suture, spiral, and axial ribs (Figure 13). Other shells preserved comparable residues with spots of manganese and encrusted sediment. The nature of the residues is not yet clear and research is in progress to establish whether this resulted from human intervention or natural factors.

DISCUSSION

The excellent preservation and the consistency of the marine and freshwater shells from the two Early Upper Paleolithic complexes at Fumane Cave offers the opportunity to appreciate the taxonomic diversity and manufacture of shell ornaments during a crucial period of time marked by the early dispersal of Anatomically Modern Humans in southern Europe. The shell assemblages from Fumane also provide ground for future investigations on the affinities and differences between the two cultural complexes, the Protoaurignacian and the Early Aurignacian.

The evidence from Fumane pinpoints the great taxonomic diversity of the Protoaurignacian shell assemblage where small, yellowish to reddish colored species were preferentially selected. The interest for a symbolic use of this material is supported by the low number of edible species, combined with the distance from the coast. The wide distribution of these species along the coast of the Mediterranean suggested that the shells may have been sourced from both the Adriatic and the Tyrrhenian coasts of the Italian Peninsula. Traces of manufacture and possible ochre residues on the shells emphasize the symbolic use of most of the specimens.

It is worth noting that most of the shells from the two cultural complexes were found at the entrance of the cave, concentrated along the eastern cave wall and in front of the small tunnel C, especially in Layer A2, where several

TABLE 2. NUMBER OF IDENTIFIED SPECIMENS (NISP) AND MINIMUM NUMBER OF INDIVIDUALS (MNI). THE MNI/NISP FOR EACH TAXON REVEALS A LOW RATE OF FRAGMENTATION ONLY FOR THE GASTROPODS.*

| Taxa | NISP | MNI | MNI/NISP |
|---|------|------------------|----------|
| GASTROPODS | | | |
| Aporrhais pespelecani | 1 | 1 (1) | 1 |
| <i>Aplus</i> sp. | 2 | 2 | 1 |
| Jujubinus sp. | 9 | 9 (2) | 1 |
| Galeodea rugosa | 1 | 1 (1) | 1 |
| Bittium latreillii | 5 | 5 | 1 |
| Bittium reticulatum | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| <i>Cerithiopsis</i> sp. | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Cerithium vulgatum | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Homalopoma sanguineum | 293 | 256 (120) | 0.9 |
| Mitrella gervillii | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Luria lurida | 2 | 2 | 1 |
| Epitonium clathrus | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Diodora graeca | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Littorina obtusata | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Littorina saxatilis | 4 | 3 (2) | 0.7 |
| Mangelia sp. | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Episcomitra cornicula | 1 | 1 (1) | 1 |
| Muricidae | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Ocinebring cfr. aciculata | 1 | 1(1) | 1 |
| Ocenebra edwadsii | 3 | 3(1) | 1 |
| Ocinebring sp | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Tritia əff nellucida | 10 | 10 (4) | 1 |
| Tritia cfr. peritea | 3 | 3 | 1 |
| Tritia cfr. nellucida | 3 | 3(1) | 1 |
| Tritia noritoa | 20 | 20(14) | 1 |
| Tritia nellucida | 86 | 79 (56) | 0.9 |
| Tritia sp. (namod before as Cuclone sp.) | 15 | 15 (5) | 1 |
| Tritia of increaseatus | 10 | 10 (0) | 1 |
| Naccarius circumcinetus | 1 | 1 (2) | 1 |
| Tritia comiculum | 1 | $\frac{4}{1}(3)$ | 1 |
| Nacerius on | 1 | | 1 |
| Tritia increasenta | 60 | 1 | 0.0 |
| Clauselus inscinui (normad hafara za Masarina inscinui) | 1 | 1 | 0.9 |
| Tritia mutabilia | | 1 52 (20) | 1 |
| Tritia maticulata | 34 | 32 (29) | 0.9 |
| Tritia on (nomed before as Massarius as) | 3 | 3 (2) | 1 |
| Fritia sp.(named before as <i>Nassarius</i> sp.) | 3 | 2 | 0.7 |
| Euspira cir. macuenta | | | 1 |
| Euspiru macuenta | 5 | 5 (2) | 1 |
| Euspira sp. | 2 | 2(1) | 1 |
| Naticidae | 5 | 5 | 1 |
| Tectonatica sagraiana | 1(1) | 1 | 1 |
| Theodoxus ctr. danubialis* | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Patella cfr. ulyssiponensis | 1 | 1 | 1 |

| TABLE 2. NUMBER OF IDENTIFIED SPECIMENS (NISP) AND MINIMUM NUMBER |
|--|
| OF INDIVIDUALS (MNI). THE MNI/NISP FOR EACH TAXON REVEALS A LOW RATE |
| OF FRAGMENTATION ONLY FOR THE GASTROPODS (continued).* |

| CASTROPODS Tricolia pullus 1 1 1 Rissoa sp. 2 2 1 Rissoa variabilis 1 1 1 1 Trioi arctica 1 1 (1) 1 1 Trioi arctica 1 1 (1) 1 1 Trioi arctica 1 1 (1) 1 1 Trioi arctica 3 3 (3) 0.7 1 Clanculus corallinus 32 24 (13) 0.7 1 Clanculus cruciatus 7 7 1 | Taxa | NISP | MNI | MNI/NISP |
|--|-----------------------------|------|---------|----------|
| Tricolia pullus 1 1 1 Rissoa sp. 2 2 1 Rissoa variabilis 1 1 1 1 Trivia arctica 1 1(1) 1 1 Trivia arctica 1 1(1) 1 1 Trivia arctica 3 3(3) 1 Clanculus corallinus 32 24 (13) 0.7 Clanculus corallinus 7 7 1 Clanculus corallinus 7 7 1 Clanculus ipusieui 41 31 (13) 0.7 Clanculus sp. 14 4 0.3 Steromphala adansonii 2 2 1 Steromphala adansonii 2 2 1 Steromphala adansonii 2 2 1 Gibbula turbinoides 1 1 1 1 Steromphala cornia 1 1 1 1 Trochidae 3 1 0.3 3 1 0.3 Turritella communis 2 2 (2) 1 1 | GASTROPODS | | | |
| Rissoa sp. 2 2 1 Rissoa variabilis 1 1 1 1 Trivia arctica 1 1(1) 1 1 Trivia monacha 3 3(3) 1 Clanculus cruciatus 7 7 1 Clanculus sp. 14 4 0.3 Steromphala adansonii 2 2 1 Steromphala varia 2 2 1 Phorcus articulatus 13 9(5) 0.7 Phorcus richardi 1 1 1 Trochidae 3 1 0.3 Turritella communis 2 2 1 Gasteropoda 6 2 1 Cerastoderma sp.< | Tricolia pullus | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Rissoa variabilis 1 1 1 Trivia arctica 1 1(1) 1 Trivia arctica 1 1(1) 1 Trivia arctica 3 3(3) 1 Clanculus cruciatus 7 7 1 Clanculus cruciatus 7 7 1 Clanculus cruciatus 7 7 1 Clanculus sp. 14 4 0.3 Steromphala adansonii 2 2 1 Steromphala crix. varia 1 1 1 Gibbula turbinoides 1 1 1 1 Steromphala varia 2 2 (1) 1 1 Phorcus articulatus 13 9 (5) 0.7 Phorcus richardi 1 1 (1) 1 1 Trochidae 3 1 0.3 1 0.3 Turritella communis 2 2 (2) 1 1 1 Gasteropoda 6 2 1 1 1 1 Cerastoderma glaucum 5 5 (1) | Rissoa sp. | 2 | 2 | 1 |
| Trivia arctica 1 1 (1) 1 Trivia monacha 3 3 (3) 1 Clanculus corallinus 32 24 (13) 0.7 Clanculus cruciatus 7 7 1 Clanculus spe. 14 31 (13) 0.7 Clanculus sp. 14 4 0.3 Steromphala adansonii 2 2 1 Gibbula sp. 2 2 1 Gibbula sp. 2 2 (1) 1 Gibbula varia 2 2 (1) 1 Phorcus articulatus 13 9 (5) 0.7 Phorcus richardi 1 1 (1) 1 Trochidae 3 1 0.3 Turritella communis 2 2 (2) 1 Gasteropoda 6 2 1 1 Cerastoderma glaucum 5 5 (1) 1 1 </td <td>Rissoa variabilis</td> <td>1</td> <td>1</td> <td>1</td> | Rissoa variabilis | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Trivia monacha 3 3 (3) 1 Clanculus corallinus 32 24 (13) 0.7 Clanculus ruciatus 7 7 1 Clanculus jussieui 41 31 (13) 0.7 Clanculus spe. 14 4 0.3 Steromphala dansonii 2 2 1 Steromphala dansonii 2 2 1 Gibbula sp. 2 2 1 Gibbula turbinoides 1 1 1 Steromphala varia 2 2 (1) 1 Phorcus articulatus 13 9 (5) 0.7 Phorcus richardi 1 1 (1) 1 Trochidae 3 1 0.3 Turritella communis 2 2 (2) 1 Gasteropoda 6 2 1 ToTAL 75 5 (1) 1 Cerastoderma cfr. edule 1 1 1 Cerastoderma glaucum 5 5 (1) 1 Cerastoderma sp. 2 2 1 Papillicardium pa | Trivia arctica | 1 | 1 (1) | 1 |
| Clanculus corallinus 32 24 (13) 0.7 Clanculus cruciatus 7 7 1 Clanculus jussieui 41 31 (13) 0.7 Clanculus sp. 14 4 0.3 Steromphala adansonii 2 2 1 Steromphala cfr. varia 1 1 1 Gibbula sp. 2 2 (1) 1 Gibbula sp. 2 2 (1) 1 Steromphala varia 2 2 (1) 1 Phorcus articulatus 13 9 (5) 0.7 Phorcus richardi 1 1 (1) 1 Trochidae 3 1 0.3 Turritella communis 2 2 (2) 1 Gasteropoda 6 2 1 Gerastoderma glaucum 5 5 (1) 1 Cerastoderma glaucum 5 5 (1) 1 Glycymeris glycymeris 5 5 1 Glycymeris glycymeris 5 5 1 Glycymeris sp. 25 7 0.3 <t< td=""><td>Trivia monacha</td><td>3</td><td>3 (3)</td><td>1</td></t<> | Trivia monacha | 3 | 3 (3) | 1 |
| Clanculus cruciatus 7 7 1 Clanculus jussieui 41 31 (13) 0.7 Clanculus sp. 14 4 0.3 Steromphala adansonii 2 2 1 Steromphala cfr. varia 1 1 1 Gibbula sp. 2 2 1 Gibbula turbinoides 1 1 1 Steromphala varia 2 2(1) 1 Phorcus articulatus 13 9(5) 0.7 Gasteropoda 6 2 1 1 TOTAL 759 674 0.9 9 BIVALVES 2 2 1 1 Cerastoderma sp. 2 2 1 1 Glycymeris glucymeris 5 | Clanculus corallinus | 32 | 24 (13) | 0.7 |
| Clanculus jussieui 41 31 (13) 0.7 Clanculus sp. 14 4 0.3 Steromphala adansonii 2 2 1 Steromphala adansonii 2 2 1 Steromphala cfr. varia 1 1 1 1 Gibbula turbinoides 1 1 1 1 Steromphala varia 2 2 (1) 1 1 Phorcus articulatus 13 9 (5) 0.7 Steromphala communis 2 2 (1) 1 Gasteropoda 6 2 1 0.3 Turtiella communis 2 5 (5) 1 1 Cerastoderma cfr. edule 1 1 1 1 Cerastoderma glucum 5 5 < | Clanculus cruciatus | 7 | 7 | 1 |
| Clanculus sp. 14 4 0.3 Steromphala adansonii 2 2 1 Steromphala cfr. varia 1 1 1 Gibbula sp. 2 2 1 Gibbula turbinoides 1 1 1 Gibbula turbinoides 1 1 1 Steromphala varia 2 2 (1) 1 Phorcus articulatus 13 9 (5) 0.7 Phorcus articulatus 13 9 (5) 0.7 Phorcus richardi 1 1 (1) 1 Trochidae 3 1 0.3 Turritella communis 2 2 (2) 1 Gasteropoda 6 2 1 TOTAL 759 674 0.9 BIVALVES | Clanculus jussieui | 41 | 31 (13) | 0.7 |
| Steromphala adansonii 2 2 1 Steromphala cfr. varia 1 1 1 Gibbula sp. 2 2 1 Gibbula turbinoides 1 1 1 Steromphala varia 2 2 (1) 1 Phorcus articulatus 13 9 (5) 0.7 Phorcus richardi 1 1 (1) 1 Trochidae 3 1 0.3 Turritella communis 2 2 (2) 1 Gasteropoda 6 2 1 TOTAL 759 674 0.9 BIVALVES 1 1 1 Cerastoderma cfr. edule 1 1 1 Cerastoderma glaucum 5 5 (1) 1 Cerastoderma sp. 2 2 2 1 Papillicardium papillosum 1 1 1 1 Glycymeris glycymeris 5 5 1 1 Glycymeris sp. 25 7 0.3 3 Mytilus galloprovincialis 2 1 | Clanculus sp. | 14 | 4 | 0.3 |
| Steromphala cfr. varia 1 1 1 Gibbula sp. 2 2 1 Gibbula turbinoides 1 1 1 Steromphala varia 2 2 (1) 1 Phorcus articulatus 13 9 (5) 0.7 Phorcus richardi 1 1 (1) 1 Trochidae 3 1 0.3 Turritella communis 2 2 (2) 1 Gasteropoda 6 2 1 Gasteropoda 6 2 1 Gasteropoda 5 5 (1) 1 Cerastoderma cfr. edule 1 1 1 Cerastoderma glaucum 5 5 (1) 1 Cerastoderma sp. 2 2 1 Pajilicardium papillosum 1 1 1 Glycymeris glycymeris 5 5 1 Glycymeris sp. 25 7 0.3 Glycymeris sp. 25 7 0.3 Ostreidae 1 1 1 TOTAL 10 1 | Steromphala adansonii | 2 | 2 | 1 |
| Gibbula sp. 2 2 1 Gibbula turbinoides 1 1 1 Steromphala varia 2 2 (1) 1 Phorcus articulatus 13 9 (5) 0.7 Phorcus articulatus 13 9 (5) 0.7 Phorcus richardi 1 1 (1) 1 Trochidae 3 1 0.3 Turritella communis 2 2 (2) 1 Gasteropoda 6 2 1 TOTAL 759 674 0.9 BIVALVES 1 1 1 Cerastoderma cfr. edule 1 1 1 Cerastoderma glaucum 5 5 (1) 1 Cerastoderma sp. 2 2 1 Papillicardium papillosum 1 1 1 Glycymeris glycymeris 5 5 1 Glycymeris sp. 25 7 0.3 Glycymeris sp. 25 7 0.3 Ostreidae 1 1 1 TOTAL 11 1 </td <td>Steromphala cfr. varia</td> <td>1</td> <td>1</td> <td>1</td> | Steromphala cfr. varia | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Gibbula turbinoides 1 1 1 Steromphala varia 2 2 (1) 1 Phorcus articulatus 13 9 (5) 0.7 Phorcus richardi 1 1 (1) 1 Trochidae 3 1 0.3 Turritella communis 2 2 (2) 1 Gasteropoda 6 2 1 TOTAL 759 674 0.9 BIVALVES 1 1 1 Cerastoderma cfr. edule 1 1 1 Cerastoderma glaucum 5 5 (1) 1 Cerastoderma sp. 2 2 1 Papillicardium papillosum 1 1 1 Glycymeris glycymeris 5 5 1 Glycymeris sp. 25 7 0.3 Mytilus galloprovincialis 2 1 0.5 Ostreidae 1 1 1 1 TOTAL 117 51 0.4 Glacymeris sp. 25 7 0.3 Ostreidae | Gibbula sp. | 2 | 2 | 1 |
| Steromphala varia 2 2 (1) 1 Phorcus articulatus 13 9 (5) 0.7 Phorcus richardi 1 1 (1) 1 Trochidae 3 1 0.3 Turritella communis 2 2 (2) 1 Gasteropoda 6 2 1 TOTAL 759 674 0.9 BIVALVES 1 1 1 Cerastoderma cfr. edule 1 1 1 Cerastoderma glaucum 5 5 (1) 1 Cerastoderma sp. 2 2 1 Papillicardium papillosum 1 1 1 Glycymeris glycymeris 5 5 1 Glycymeris sp. 25 7 0.3 Mytilus galloprovincialis 2 1 0.5 Ostreidae 1 1 1 1 TOTAL 117 51 0.4 1 Glacymeris sp. 25 7 0.3 | Gibbula turbinoides | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Phorcus articulatus 13 9 (5) 0.7 Phorcus richardi 1 1 (1) 1 Trochidae 3 1 0.3 Turritella communis 2 2 (2) 1 Gasteropoda 6 2 1 TOTAL 759 674 0.9 BIVALVES 1 1 1 Cerastoderma cfr. edule 1 1 1 Cerastoderma glaucum 5 5 (1) 1 Cerastoderma sp. 2 2 1 Papillicardium papillosum 1 1 1 Glycymeris glycymeris 5 5 1 Glycymeris sp. 25 7 0.3 Mytilus galloprovincialis 2 1 0.5 Ostreidae 1 1 1 1 TOTAL 117 51 0.4 SCAPHOPODS 1 1 1 Antalis cfr. inaequicostata 1 1 1 GRAND TOTAL 877 726 0.8 | Steromphala varia | 2 | 2 (1) | 1 |
| Phorcus richardi 1 1 (1) 1 Trochidae 3 1 0.3 Turritella communis 2 2 (2) 1 Gasteropoda 6 2 1 TOTAL 6 2 1 BIVALVES 759 674 0.9 Ecrastoderma cfr. edule 1 1 1 Cerastoderma glaucum 5 5 (1) 1 Cerastoderma sp. 2 2 1 Papillicardium papillosum 1 1 1 Glycymeris glycymeris 5 5 1 Glycymeris sp. 25 7 0.3 Mytilus galloprovincialis 2 1 0.5 Ostreidae 1 1 1 TOTAL 117 51 0.4 SCAPHOPODS 1 1 1 Antalis cfr. inaequicostata 1 1 1 GRAND TOTAL 877 726 0.8 | Phorcus articulatus | 13 | 9 (5) | 0.7 |
| Trochidae 3 1 0.3 Turritella communis 2 2 (2) 1 Gasteropoda 6 2 1 TOTAL 759 674 0.9 BIVALVES 1 1 1 Cerastoderma cfr. edule 1 1 1 Cerastoderma glaucum 5 5 (1) 1 Cerastoderma sp. 2 2 1 Papillicardium papillosum 1 1 1 Glycymeris glycymeris 5 5 1 Glycymeris sp. 25 7 0.3 Glycymeris sp. 25 7 0.3 Mytilus galloprovincialis 2 1 0.5 Ostreidae 1 1 1 TOTAL 117 51 0.4 SCAPHOPODS 1 1 1 Antalis cfr. inaequicostata 1 1 1 GRAND TOTAL 877 726 0.8 | Phorcus richardi | 1 | 1 (1) | 1 |
| Turritella communis 2 2 (2) 1 Gasteropoda 6 2 1 TOTAL 759 674 0.9 BIVALVES 2 2 1 Cerastoderma cfr. edule 1 1 1 Cerastoderma glaucum 5 5 (1) 1 Cerastoderma sp. 2 2 1 Papillicardium papillosum 1 1 1 Glycymeris glycymeris 5 5 1 Glycymeris sp. 25 7 0.3 Glycymeris sp. 25 7 0.3 Mytilus galloprovincialis 2 1 1 Ostreidae 1 1 1 TOTAL 117 51 0.4 SCAPHOPODS 3 3 3 3 Antalis cfr. inaequicostata 1 1 1 GRAND TOTAL 877 726 0.8 | Trochidae | 3 | 1 | 0.3 |
| Gasteropoda 6 2 1 TOTAL 759 674 0.9 BIVALVES 1 1 1 1 < | Turritella communis | 2 | 2 (2) | 1 |
| TOTAL7596740.9BIVALVESCerastoderma cfr. edule111Cerastoderma glaucum55(1)1Cerastoderma sp.221Papillicardium papillosum111Glycymeris glycymeris551Glycymeris nummaria7527 (9)0.3Glycymeris sp.2570.3Mytilus galloprovincialis211TOTAL117510.4SCAPHOPODSAntalis cfr. inaequicostata111GRAND TOTAL8777260.8 | Gasteropoda | 6 | 2 | 1 |
| BIVALVES Cerastoderma cfr. edule 1 1 1 Cerastoderma glaucum 5 5 (1) 1 Cerastoderma sp. 2 2 1 Papillicardium papillosum 1 1 1 Glycymeris glycymeris 5 5 1 Glycymeris nummaria 75 27 (9) 0.3 Glycymeris sp. 25 7 0.3 Mytilus galloprovincialis 2 1 1 Ostreidae 1 1 1 TOTAL 117 51 0.4 SCAPHOPODS 1 1 1 Antalis cfr. inaequicostata 1 1 1 GRAND TOTAL 877 726 0.8 | TOTAL | 759 | 674 | 0.9 |
| Cerastoderma cfr. edule 1 1 1 Cerastoderma glaucum 5 5 (1) 1 Cerastoderma sp. 2 2 1 Papillicardium papillosum 1 1 1 1 Glycymeris glycymeris 5 5 1 1 Glycymeris nummaria 75 27 (9) 0.3 0.3 Glycymeris sp. 25 7 0.3 0.3 Mytilus galloprovincialis 2 1 1 1 Ostreidae 1 1 1 1 TOTAL 117 51 0.4 SCAPHOPODS 1 1 1 Antalis cfr. inaequicostata 1 1 1 GRAND TOTAL 877 726 0.8 | BIVALVES | | | |
| Cerastoderma glaucum 5 5 (1) 1 Cerastoderma sp. 2 2 1 Papillicardium papillosum 1 1 1 Glycymeris glycymeris 5 5 1 Glycymeris nummaria 75 27 (9) 0.3 Glycymeris sp. 25 7 0.3 Mytilus galloprovincialis 2 1 1 Ostreidae 1 1 1 TOTAL 117 51 0.4 SCAPHOPODS 1 1 1 Antalis cfr. inaequicostata 1 1 1 GRAND TOTAL 877 726 0.8 | Cerastoderma cfr. edule | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Cerastoderma sp. 2 2 1 Papillicardium papillosum 1 1 1 1 Glycymeris glycymeris 5 5 1 Glycymeris nummaria 75 27 (9) 0.3 Glycymeris sp. 25 7 0.3 Mytilus galloprovincialis 2 1 0.5 Ostreidae 1 1 1 TOTAL 117 51 0.4 SCAPHOPODS 1 1 1 Antalis cfr. inaequicostata 1 1 1 GRAND TOTAL 877 726 0.8 | Cerastoderma glaucum | 5 | 5 (1) | 1 |
| Papillicardium papillosum 1 1 1 Glycymeris glycymeris 5 5 1 Glycymeris nummaria 75 27 (9) 0.3 Glycymeris sp. 25 7 0.3 Mytilus galloprovincialis 2 1 0.5 Ostreidae 1 1 1 TOTAL 117 51 0.4 SCAPHOPODS 1 1 1 Antalis cfr. inaequicostata 1 1 1 GRAND TOTAL 877 726 0.8 | Cerastoderma sp. | 2 | 2 | 1 |
| Glycymeris glycymeris 5 5 1 Glycymeris nummaria 75 27 (9) 0.3 Glycymeris sp. 25 7 0.3 Mytilus galloprovincialis 2 1 0.5 Ostreidae 1 1 1 TOTAL 117 51 0.4 SCAPHOPODS 1 1 1 Antalis cfr. inaequicostata 1 1 1 GRAND TOTAL 877 726 0.8 | Papillicardium papillosum | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Glycymeris nummaria 75 27 (9) 0.3 Glycymeris sp. 25 7 0.3 Mytilus galloprovincialis 2 1 0.5 Ostreidae 1 1 1 TOTAL 117 51 0.4 SCAPHOPODS 1 1 1 Antalis cfr. inaequicostata 1 1 1 GRAND TOTAL 877 726 0.8 | Glycymeris glycymeris | 5 | 5 | 1 |
| Glycymeris sp. 25 7 0.3 Mytilus galloprovincialis 2 1 0.5 Ostreidae 1 1 1 TOTAL 117 51 0.4 SCAPHOPODS 1 1 1 Antalis cfr. inaequicostata 1 1 1 GRAND TOTAL 877 726 0.8 | Glycymeris nummaria | 75 | 27 (9) | 0.3 |
| Mytilus galloprovincialis 2 1 0.5 Ostreidae 1 1 1 TOTAL 117 51 0.4 SCAPHOPODS 1 1 Antalis cfr. inaequicostata 1 1 1 GRAND TOTAL 877 726 0.8 | <i>Glycymeris</i> sp. | 25 | 7 | 0.3 |
| Ostreidae 1 1 1 TOTAL 117 51 0.4 SCAPHOPODS 1 1 1 Antalis cfr. inaequicostata 1 1 1 1 1 1 GRAND TOTAL 877 726 0.8 <th0.8< th=""> <th0.8< td="" th<=""><td>Mytilus galloprovincialis</td><td>2</td><td>1</td><td>0.5</td></th0.8<></th0.8<> | Mytilus galloprovincialis | 2 | 1 | 0.5 |
| TOTAL117510.4SCAPHOPODSAntalis cfr. inaequicostata111GRAND TOTAL8777260.8 | Ostreidae | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| SCAPHOPODSAntalis cfr. inaequicostata11GRAND TOTAL8777260.8 | TOTAL | 117 | 51 | 0.4 |
| Antalis cfr. inaequicostata111GRAND TOTAL8777260.8 | SCAPHOPODS | | | |
| GRAND TOTAL 877 726 0.8 | Antalis cfr. inaequicostata | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| | GRAND TOTAL | 877 | 726 | 0.8 |

*Specimens with perforation are reported in parentheses.



Figure 5. Natural alterations: a) perforation produced by marine predator; b) micro-pits made by marine sponge; c) corrosion due to marine snails; d-e) smoothed ornamentations of shells produced from surf-action; f) encrustations of sediment; g) manganese punctuations; h) root etching; i) heating alteration (found both in the Protoaurignacian and Early Aurignacian levels) (scale bar 1mm).

complete unperforated shells of *H. sanguineum* (n=24) were retrieved in less than 1m², with the highest density in Subsquare 92a (in total 37 out of 35 shells of *H. sanguineum*, 1 fragment of *Tritia pellucida*, and 1 perforated *Tritia corniculum*). This would support the existence of a shell cache (Bertola et al. 2013; Broglio and Gurioli 2004; Fiocchi 1997) for future manufacture or trading.

With the exception of combusted shells, mostly *H. sanguineum*, in proximity of a large fire-place in Layer A2, there were no relevant differences in the natural and anthropogenic taphonomic processes between the Protoaurignacian and Early Aurignacian.

The shell record from Fumane shows some taxonomic and taphonomic similarities with species found at Riparo Mochi, Riparo Bombrini, and Grotta dei Fanciulli in Liguria (see Figure 1), also highlighted by other cultural aspects (Bertola et al. 2013). More specifically, Levels G and F of Riparo Mochi yielded over 500 beads made of small shells comparable in variety (more than 40 species) to those of Fumane. Some specimens were possibly collected as food, but the prevailing number of perforated and manipulated shells (gastropods and scaphopods) supports their function as ornamental items. Except for Antalis cfr. inaequicostatum, the most represented taxa shared with Fumane are gastropods, such as *Tritia neritea* and *H. sanguineum* (Bertola et al. 2013; Kuhn and Stiner 1998). Riparo Bombrini yielded over 300 gastropods, including complete and fragmented shells, in some cases showing anthropogenic perforations (Bertola et al. 2013; Vanhaeren and d'Errico 2006). Nine taxa of those found at this site were also present at Fumane. At Grotta dei Fanciulli, nine taxa corresponded to those found at Fumane (Bertola et al. 2013; Negrino and Tagliacozzo 2008; Vanhaeren and d'Errico 2006). Additional sites in the Italian Peninsula with perforated marine shells in Aurignacian deposits include Grotta della Cala and Grotta Castelcivita. At Grotta della Cala, over half of the 21 taxa determined are represented at Fumane, while at Castelcivita, a few fragments of Pecten jacobaeus and of one shell of H. sanguineum were recovered in the Protoaurignacian levels (Gambassini 1997). In the eastern Mediterranean, a large assemblage comes from Klissoura 1 Cave in Peloponnese. The taxonomic spectrum recovered in Level IV shows clear

74 • PaleoAnthropology 2019



Figure 6. Incomplete perforation on Luria lurida found in Level A2, Protoaurignacian. On the right, detail of deep incisions and striations above and below a principal incision due to sawing movements using a sharp tool (sciage technique) (scale bar 3mm).



Figure 7. Examples of mechanical exfoliations around area of the hole in a perforated shell of Homalopoma sanguineum (found in the Protoaurignacian and Early Aurignacian levels). The nacreous layer is visible due to the exfoliation (scale bar 2mm).



Figure 8. Breakage observed on specimens belonging to the Protoaurignacian and Early Aurignacian levels due to perforation process; a) Homalopoma sanguineum and b) Clanculus jussieui; c) specimen of H. sanguineum with visible remnants of a possible perforation (found in Level A2, Protoaurignacian) (scale bar 3mm).

similarities with Fumane, not only for the presence of nine specimens of *H. sanguineum*, but also for the occurrence of more common genera such as *Tritia*, *Clanculus*, *Columbella*, and *Theodoxus* (Stiner et al. 2010). Comparably, Franchthi Cave has produced a large collection dominated by *Tritia* sp. and *Antalis* sp., followed by *H. sanguineum* and *C. rustica* (Perlés 2018).



Figure 9. Oriented striations found on four shells of Tritia pellucida *from the Protoaurignacian and Early Aurignacian levels. Striations were produced with a sharp tool by punching from the external surface of the shell; a) oriented striations are positioned on the side of the hole opposite to the siphon channel (scale bar 3mm).*

76 • PaleoAnthropology 2019



Figure 10. Use-wear traces observed on hole rims (found both in the Protoaurignacian and Early Aurignacian levels): in a), b), and c) (respectively Tritia mutabilis, Aporrhais pespelecani, and Homalopoma sanguineum) the rim has clearly been smoothed in proximity to the peristome. Moreover, in a) and b) an evident groove is also visible on the perimeter of the hole. The red lines indicate fresh traits (crenulated) and worn traits (smoothed) of the rim hole (scale bar 2mm).



Figure 11. Polish-wear in both extremities of the Antalis cfr. inaequicostata (found in Level A1, Protoaurignacian), and in a small portion of the external surface of the shell. The polished areas that occur on the external surface are indicated in grey (scale bar 1mm).



Figure 12. Examples of three perforated Glycymeris nummaria (found both in the Protoaurignacian and Early Aurignacian) which show evidence of worn surfaces in the umbo area, on the external surface of the shell, on the hole, and on the hinge teeth probably due to hanging of the shell. c) Shell with double perforation. An explanation for this double perforation is that the first perforation was positioned too distant from the umbo and was thus inappropriate for suspension (scale bar 3mm).

78 • PaleoAnthropology 2019



Figure 13. Ochre residues on the internal and external surfaces of the shells and on the wall of the hole in many species of the shell collection, especially in Homalopoma sanguineum, found both in the Protoaurignacian and Early Aurignacian levels. Some examples are more evident: a) Tritia mutabilis; b) Tritia incrassata; c) Homalopoma sanguineum (c): scale bar 2mm).

HOMALOPOMA SANGUINEUM AS A SHARED CULTURAL-SYMBOLIC ELEMENT IN SOUTHERN EUROPE

One of the most important and distinct features of the analyzed collection is the preferential selection of particular species, more specifically H. sanguineum. Generally small in size (<5mm in height and <7mm in diameter), H. sanguineum is an herbivorous gastropod associated with algae and rocky seabeds and distributed along the Mediterranean Sea, the North Atlantic Ocean, and the Red Sea. Its relative high frequency during the Upper Paleolithic (Vanhaeren and D'Errico 2006) shows that the bright red color of its shell was probably particularly appealing and may have represented one of the main criteria taken into account when selected for ornamental purposes. The preference for small specimens throughout the early Upper Paleolithic seems to indicate that their morphology and size were also significant criteria. In addition, the thickness of the shell wall may have been an important feature, as personal ornaments made of thicker shells might require greater manufacturing skills and time.

It has been suggested that the presence of *H. sanguine-um* in shell assemblages may have been underestimated, particularly in older collections, due to inadequate excavation methods (Álvarez-Fernández 2006a). In this context, the Aurignacian sites of interest are found in SW France, such as Abri Blanchard I with three perforated specimens and Abri Castanet with twelve perforated specimens found together and interpreted as necklace components (Alvarez-Fernández 2002a). In Spain, a few specimens were found at

Abric Romaní and Cova de Arbreda. Along the Italian west coast, Riparo Mochi shares much of its taxonomic composition with Fumane. At this site, over one hundred perforated shells of *H. sanguineum* (16% of the entire collection) were found in the Protoaurignacian Level G, while more than thirty were recovered in the Aurignacian Level F (Álvarez-Fernández 2002a; Stiner 1999). Further inland, one shell of *H. sanguineum* was found at Castelcivita Cave (Gambassini 1997). In the eastern Mediterranean, *H. sanguineum* is represented by 16 specimens at Franchthi Cave (Douka et al. 2011; Perlès 2015), while at Klissoura 1 Cave the taxonomic spectrum includes nine specimens (Stiner 2010).

Shells of H. sanguineum were commonly used also during the Gravettian. Around 200 specimens were found at Riparo Mochi (Stiner 1999) and five were recovered at Grotta della Serratura (Martini et al. 2003) in the Italian Peninsula. In Dordogne, France, one specimen was found at Abri Pataud (Alvarez-Fernández 2006b), and in Greece, Ulithi Cave and Boila Cave yielded a few perforated shells. Finally, in central Europe, in Mainz-Linsenberg, 15 specimens were recovered, of which only two were perforated (Álvarez-Fernández 2002a). Solutrean sites that yielded findings as impressive as those of Reclau Viver in the Iberian Peninsula are rare. This site produced an accumulation of thousands of specimens, the majority of which showed traces of red pigment and polishing on the edges of the perforations, interpreted as beads belonging to a set of multiple necklaces (Alvarez-Fernández 2002a; 2006a). During the Magdalenian, H. sanguineum was used from Cantabria to France and Germany, in sites located far from

the Mediterranean coast, thus providing clear evidence for long-distance exchange networks, probably through the Rhine-Rhone axis (Fullola et al. 2007). In addition, a relative increase in *H. sanguineum* was recorded especially in sites located in central and SW Europe (Alvarez-Fernández 2006b). During the Middle and Late Magdalenian, several sites in Dordogne and in the Pyrenees show a preference for the association of H. sanguineum and Tritia sp. (Alvarez-Fernández 2006b). The most significant examples in this context are Tito Bustillo on the Cantabrian coast, where eight perforated specimens of H. sanguineum were reported (Álvarez-Fernández 2002b); Mas-d'Azil in Dordogne, which yielded 27 perforated specimens; and the open-air site of Andernach-Martinsberg-2 in Germany, where more than 40 shells of *H. sanguineum* and one shell of *Tritia sp.* were recovered. These shells were found in a pit and the perforated shells had clear evidence of exfoliation and polishing (Álvarez-Fernández 2001; 2002a). H. sanguineum is also present in sites from the inland and coastal Atlantic and Mediterranean, often associated with Tritia sp. (Alvarez-Fernández 2006; Fontana et al. 2009; Stiner 1999). However, the use of this shell decreased considerably during the Late Epigravettian, when it was replaced by Columbella rustica as the main ornamental component (Alvarez-Fernández 2002b; Bertolini et al. 2016; Cristiani et al. 2014; Martini et al. 2003; Perlès 2015).

CONCLUSIONS

Despite the paucity of marine and freshwater shell assemblages of similar age and composition in south Europe and part of central Europe, the shells from Fumane permit comparisons at the supra-regional scale and confirm similarities across different sites in terms of taxonomic composition and taphonomic traces. It offers glimpses into past human communication strategies and cultural exchange during the early Upper Paleolithic in Europe (Vanhaeren and d'Errico 2006). The data from Fumane and the review of the literature suggest that early Anatomically Modern Humans communicated through aesthetic elements in portable objects. Among these elements, the shells of H. sanguineum probably played a fundamental role in expressing a communication system shared over a large geographic area, and may have represented group consciousness or even longstanding ethnic identities between the Rhone basin and eastern Mediterranean during the early Upper Paleolithic.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Research at Fumane is coordinated by the University of Ferrara and supported by the Ministry of Culture and Veneto Archaeological Superintendence, public institutions (Lessinia Mountain Community and Regional Natural Park, Fumane Municipality, Veneto Region - Department for Cultural Heritage). Author contributions: M.P. designed the research; M.F., E.Q., A.C.C., M.P., M.R., C.C., G.G. analysed data; M.F., A.C.C., M.P., M.R., C.C., G.G. wrote the paper. Figures were prepared by M.P. (Figure 1) and M.F. (Figures 2 to 13). We are grateful to Daniela Rosso and Krista McGrath for proofreading of the manuscript.

REFERENCES

- Álvarez-Fernández, E. 2001. L'axe Rhin-Rhône au Paléolithique supérieur récent: l'exemple des mollusques utilisés comme objets de parure. *L'Anthropologie* 105, 547–564.
- Álvarez-Fernández, E. 2002a. Ejemplares perforados del gasterópodo *Homalopoma sanguineum* en Europa occidental durante el Paleolítico superior. *Cypsela* 14, 43– 54.
- Álvarez-Fernández, E. 2002b. Perforate Homalopoma sanguineum from Tito Bustillo (Asturias): mobility of Magdalenian groups in northern Spain. Antiquity 76, 641–646.
- Álvarez-Fernández, E. 2006. Los objetos de adorno-colgantes del Paleolítico superior y del Mesolítico en la Cornisa Cantábrica y en el Valle del Ebro: una visión europea. Ph.D. dissertation, Salamanca.
- Álvarez-Fernández, E. 2013. La récolte des coquillages dans la région Cantabrique au Magdalénien: la grotte de «Tito Bustillo» (Asturies, Espagne). L'Anthropologie 117, 62–93.
- Bar-Yosef Mayer, D.E. 2005. The exploitation of shells as beads in the Palaeolithic and Neolithic of the Levant. *Paléorient* 31, 176–185.
- Benazzi, S., Bailey, S.E., Peresani, M., Mannino, M., Romandini, M., Richards, M.P., and Hublin, J.J. 2014. Middle Paleolithic and Uluzzian human remains from Fumane Cave, Italy. *Journal of Human Evolution* 70, 61–68.
- Bertola, S., Broglio, A., Cristiani, E., de Stefani, M., Gurioli, F., Negrino, F., Romandini, M., and Vanhaeren, M. 2013. La diffusione del primo aurignaziano a sud dell'arco alpino. *Preistoria Alpina* 47, 17–30.
- Bertolini, M., Cristiani, E., Modolo, M., Visentin P., and Romandini, M. 2016. Late Epigravettian and Mesolithic foragers of the eastern Alpine region: animal exploration and ornamental strategies at Riparo Brianzo (Northern Italy). *Quaternary International* 423, 73–91.
- Bonnardin, S. 2009. La Parure funéraire au néolithique ancien dans les bassins parisien et rhénan: Rubané, Hinkelstein et Villeneuve-Saint-Germain. Mémoires de la Société préhistorique française 49.
- Bouzouggar, A., Barton, N., Vanhaeren, M., d'Errico, F., Collcutt, S., Higham, T., Hodge, E., Parfitt, S., Rhodes, E., Schwenninger, J.-L., Stringer, C., Turner, E., Ward, S., Moutmirm, A., and Stambouli, A. 2007. 82,000-yearold shell beads from North Africa and implications for the origins of modern human behavior. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences USA* 104, 9964–9969.
- Broglio, A., Cilli, C., Giacobini, G., and Gurioli, F. 2006b. Osso, palco, dente e conchiglia: I supporti in materia dura animale dei manufatti dei primi uomini moderni di Fumane (Verona). Atti XXXIX Riunione Scientifica dell'Instituto Italiano di Preistoria e Protostoria IIPP, "Materie prime e scambi nella preistoria italiana", Vol. II. Firenze, Instituto Italiano di Preistoria e Protostoria, pp. 815–827.

- Broglio, A., De Stefani M., Tagliacozzo, A., Gurioli, F., and Facciolo, A. 2006a. Aurignacian dwelling structures, hunting strategies and seasonality in the Fumane Cave (Lessini Mountains). In *Kostenki and the Early Upper Paleolithic of Eurasia: General Trends, Local Developments,* Vasil'ev, S.A., Praslov, N.D., Sinitsyn, A., and Hoffecker, J.F. (eds.). Saint Petersburg, Nestor-Historia, pp. 263–268.
- Broglio, A. and Gurioli, F. 2004. The symbolic behavior of the first modern humans: the Fumane Cave evidence (Venetian Pre-Alps). In *Actes du colloque de la commission 8 de l'UISPP (Paléolithique supérieur)*, Otte, M. (ed.). Liège, ERAUL 106, pp. 97–102.
- Cavallo, G., Fontana, F., Gonzato, F., Peresani, M., Riccardi, M.P., and and Zorzin, R. 2017. Textural, microstructural and compositional characteristics of Fe-based geomaterials and Upper Palaeolithic ocher in the Lessini Mountains, Northeast Italy: implications for provenance studies. *Geoarchaeology* 32(4), 437–455.
- Claassen, C., 1998. *Shells*. Cambridge, Cambridge University Press.
- Cristiani, E., Farbstein, R., and Miracle, P. 2014. Ornamental traditions in the Eastern Adriatic: the Upper Paleolithic and Mesolithic personal adornments from Vela Spila (Croatia). *Journal of Anthropological Archaeology* 36, 21–31.
- d'Errico, F., Jardon-Giner, P., Soler-Mayor, B., 1993. Critères à base experimental pour l'étude des perforations naturelles et intentionnelles sur coquillages. In *Traces et function: les gestes retrouvés*, Anderson, P.C., Beyries, S., Otte, M., and Plisson, H. (eds.). Actes du Colloque International de Liège-1990, Liège, ERAUL 50, pp. 243– 254.
- d'Errico, F., Vanhaeren, M., Barton, N., Bouzouggar, A., Mienis, H., Richter, D., Hublin, J.–J., McPherron, S.P., and Lozouet, P. 2009. Additional evidence on the use of personal ornaments in the Middle Paleolithic of North Africa. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* USA 106, 16051–16056.
- Doneddu, M. and Trainito, E. 2010. *Conchiglie del Mediter*raneo: guida ai molluschi conchigliati. Milano, Il Castello.
- Douka, K., Perlès, C., Valladas, H., Vanhaeren, M., and Hedges, R.E.M. 2011. Franchthi Cave revisited: the age of the Aurignacian in South-Eastern Europe. *Antiquity* 85, 1131–1150.
- Douka, K., Higham, T.F.G., Wood, R., Boscato, P., Gambassini, P., Karkanas, P., Peresani, M., Ronchitelli, A. 2014. On the chronology of the Uluzzian. *Journal of Human Evolution* 68, 1–13.
- Falcucci, A., Conard, N.J., and Peresani, M. 2017. A critical assessment of the Protoaurignacian lithic technology at Fumane Cave and its implications for the spread of modern humans into Europe. *Plos One* 12(12), e0189241.
- Falcucci, A., Peresani, M., Roussel, M., Normand, C., and Soressi, M. 2018. What's the point? Retouched bladelets variability during the Protoaurignacian. Results from Fumane, Isturitz and Les Cottés. *Archaeological and Anthropological Sciences* 10: 539–554.

- Fiocchi, C. 1997. Le conchiglie marine provenienti dalla Grotta di Fumane (Monti Lessini, Verona). *Atti Istituto Veneto Scienze, Lettere, Arti* CLV, 441–462.
- Fiocchi, C. 1998. Contributo alla conoscenza del comportamento simbolico di Homo sapiens. Le conchiglie marine nei siti del Paleolitico superiore europeo: strategie di approvvigionamento, reti di scambio, utilizzo. Parma, Consortium of University of Bologna, Ferrara.
- Fontana, F., Cilli, C., Cremona, M.G., Giacobini, G., Gurioli, F., Liagre J., Malerba, G., Rocci Ris, A., Veronese, C., and Guerreschi, A. 2009. Recent data on the Late Epigravettian occupation at Riparo Tagliente, Monti Lessini (Grezzana, Verona): a multidisciplinary perspective. *Preistoria Alpina* 44, 51–59.
- Fritz, C. and Simonnet, R. 1996. Du geste à l'objet: les contours découpés de Labastide: résultats préliminaires. *Techne* 3, 63–77.
- Fullola, J.M., Mangado, X., and Estrada, A. 2007. Circulation des matières premières lithiques et des coquillages dans le Paléolithique supérieur du nord-est ibérique. In *La notion de mobilité dans les sociétés préhistoriques*, de Soto, J.G (ed.). Paris, CTHS Éd., pp. 57–66.
- Gurioli F., Cilli C., Giacobini G., and Broglio A. 2005. Le conchiglie perforate aurignaziane della Grotta di Fumane (VR). In *Proceedings IV Convegno Nazionale Archeozoologia. Quaderni Museo Archeologico Friuli occidentale* 6. Pordenone, Comune di Pordenone, pp. 59-65.
- Gurioli, F. 2011. Il trattamento delle materie dure animali nel Paleolitico Superiore dell'Italia Nord-occidentale. Sfruttamento ai fini alimentari, tecnologici e simbolici. Ph.D. Dissertation, Consortium of University of Bologna, Ferrara, Parma.
- Kubicka A.M., Rosin Z.M., Tryjanowski P., and Nelson E. 2017. A systematic review of animal predation creating pierced shells: implications for the archaeological record of the Old World. *Peer J* 5: e2903.
- Kuhn, S.L. and Stiner, M.C. 1998. The Earliest Aurignacian of Riparo Mochi (Liguria, Italy). *Current Anthropology* 3, 175–189.
- Kuhn, S.L., Stiner, M.C., Reese, D.S., and Güleç, E. 2001. Ornaments of the earliest Upper Palaeolithic: new insights from the Levant. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences USA* 98(13), 7641–7646.
- Martini, F., Colonese, A.C., and Wilkens, B. 2003. Grotta della Serratura (Marina di Camerota - SA). La malacofauna dei livelli gravettiani ed epigravettiani. Considerazioni paleoecologiche. In *Atti 4° Convegno Nazionale di Archeozoologia*. Quaderni Museo Archeologico Friuli Occidentale 6. Pordenone, Comune di Pordenone, pp. 87–96.
- Negrino, F. and Tagliacozzo, C. 2008. Il Paleolitico in Liguria. In Archéologies transfrontalières - Alpes du Sud, Côte d'Azur, Piémont, Ligurie - Bilan et perspectives de recherche. Actes du colloque de Nice, 13–15 december 2007, Binder, D., Delestre, X, and Pergola, P. (eds.). Bulletin du Musée d'Anthropologie préhistorique de Monaco, Supplement 1, 21–28.
- Newell, R.R., Kielman, D., Constandse-Westermann, T.S.,

Van der Sanden, W.A.B., and Van Gijn, A. 1990. *An Inquiry into the Ethnic Resolution of Mesolithic Regional Groups. The Study of Their Decorative Ornaments in Time and Space.* Leiden, Brill.

Peresani, M., Fiore, I., Gala, M., Romandini, M., and Tagliacozzo, A. 2011. Late Neandertals and the intentional removal of feathers as evidenced from bird bone taphonomy at Fumane Cave 44ky BP, Italy. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences USA* 108, 3888–3893.

- Perlès, C. 2016. Food and ornaments: diachronic changes in the exploration of littoral resources at Franchthi Cave (Argolid, Greece) during the Upper Paleolithic and the Mesolithic (39,000-7000 cal BC). *Quaternary International* 4017, 45–48.
- Perlès, C. 2018. Ornaments and Other Ambiguous Artifacts from Franchthi. The Palaeolithic and the Mesolithic. Indiana University Press, Bloomington, IN.
- Pescaux, C. 2013. Los adornos Solutrenses: identificación de un Nuevo procedimiento de perforación de las conchas en Lachaud (Terrasson, Dordoña, Francia). *Prehistoria y Arqueologia* 5, 333–349.
- Poppe, G.T. and Goto, Y. 1993. *European Seashells*. Vol 2. Verlag Christa Hemmen, Wiesbaden, 57–72.
- Robba, E. and Ostinelli, F. 1975. Studi paleoecologici sul Pliocene ligure. I. Testimonianze di predazione sui molluschi pliocenici di Albenga. *Rivista Italiana di Paleontologia e Stratigrafia* 81(3), 309–372.
- Robba, E. and Ostinelli, F. 1976. Studi paleoecologici sul Pliocene ligure. II. Le tracce degli organismi epibionti sui Molluschi pliocenici di Albenga. *Rivista Italiana di Paleontologia e Stratigrafia* 82(3), 501–578.
- Stiner, M.C. 1999. Paleolithic mollusc exploitation at Riparo Mochi (Balzi Rossi, Italy): food and ornaments from the Aurignacian through Epigravettian. *Antiquity* 73(282), 735–754.
- Stiner, M.C. 2003. "Standardization" in Upper Paleolithic ornaments at the coastal sites of Riparo Mochi and Üçaglizli Cave. In *The Chronology of the Aurignacian and* of the Transitional Complexes. Dating, Stratigraphies, Cultural Implications, d'Errico, F. and Zilhao, J. (eds). Trabalhos de Arqueologia 33, pp. 49–59.
- Stiner, M.C. 2010. Shell ornaments from the Upper Paleolithic and Mesolithic layers of Klissoura Cave 1 by Prosymnia, Greece. *Eurasian Prehistory* 7(2), 287–308.
- Stiner, M.C., Kozlowski, J.K., Kuhn, S.L., Karkanas, P., and Koumouzelis, M. 2010. Klissoura Cave 1 and the Upper Paleolithic of southern Greece in cultural and ecological context. *Eurasian Prehistory* 7(2), 309–321.
- Stiner, M.C., Kuhn, S.L., and Güleç, E. 2013. Early Upper Paleolithic shell beads at Üçağizli Cave I (Turkey): technology and the socioeconomic context of ornament lifehistories. *Journal of Human Evolution* 64, 380–398.
- Taborin, Y. 1993a. *La parure en coquillage au Paléolithique*. XXIX Supplement Gallia Préhistorique. CNRS, Paris.

Taborin, Y. 1993b. Traces de façonnage et d'usage sur les

conquillages perforés. In *Traces et function. Le gestes retrouvés,* Anderson, P.C., Beyries, S., Otte, M., and Plisson, H. (eds.). ERAUL Volume 50, Liège, pp. 255–267.

- Taborin, Y. 2004. *Langage* sans parole. La *parureaux temps préhostoriques*. La Maison des Roches, Paris.
- Tatà, J., Cascalherira, J., Marreiros, J., Pereira, T., and Bicho, N. 2014. Shell bead production in the Upper Paleolithic of Valle Boi (SW Portugal): an experimental perspective. *Journal of Archaeological Science* 42, 29–41.
- Vanhaeren, M. 2002. *Les fonctions de la parure au Paléolithique supérieur: de l'individu à l'unité culturelle*. Ph.D. dissertation, Institut de Préhistoire et Geologie du Quaternaire, University of Bordeaux I, Bordeaux.
- Vanhaeren, M. and d'Errico, F. 2001. La parure de l'enfant de La Madeleine (fouilles Peyrony). Un nouveau regard sur l'enfance au Paléolithique supérieur. *Paléo* 13, 201–240.
- Vanhaeren, M. and d'Errico, F. 2003. Childhood in the Epipaleolithic. What do personal ornaments associated to burials tell us? In *Mesolithic on the Move*, Larsson, L., Kindgren, H., Knutsson, K., Leoffler, D., and Akerlund, A., (eds.). Oxbow Monographs, Oxford, pp. 494–505.
- Vanhaeren, M., d'Errico, F., Billy, I., and Grousset, F. 2004. Tracing the source of Upper Palaeolithic shell beads by strontium isotope dating. *Journal of Archaeological Science* 31, 1481–1488.
- Vanhaeren, M. and d'Errico, F. 2005. Grave goods from the Saint-Germain-la-Rivière burial: evidence for social inequality in the Upper Palaeolithic. *Journal of Anthropological Archaeology* 24, 117–134.
- Vanhaeren, M. and d'Errico, F. 2006. Aurignacian ethnolinguistic geography of Europe revealed by personal ornaments. *Journal of Archaeological Science* 33(8), 1105– 1128.
- Vanhaeren, M. and d'Errico, F. 2011. L'émergence du corps paré: objets de parure paléolithiques. *Civilisations* 59(2), 59–86.
- Vanhaeren, M. and d'Errico, F. 2013. Thinking strings: additional evidence for personal ornament use in the Middle Stone Age at Blombos Cave, South Africa. *Journal of Human Evolution* 64, 500–517.
- Waelbroeck, C., Labeyrie, L., Michel, E., Duplessy, J.C., Lambeck, K., McManus, J.F., Balbon, E., and Labracherie, M. 2002. Sea-level and deep water temperature changes derived from benthic foraminifera isotopic records. *Quaternary Science Reviews* 21, 295–305.
- White, R. 1999. Intégrer la complexité sociale et opérationnelle: la construction matérielle de l'identité sociale à Sungir. In *Préhistoire d'os. Recueil d'études sur l'industrie* osseuse préhistorique, Camps-Faber, H. (ed.). Publications de l'Université de Provence, Aix-en-Provence, pp. 319–331.
- Zilhão, J. 2007. The emergence of ornaments and art: an archaeological perspective on the origins of "behavioral modernity". *Journal of Archaeological Research* 15, 1–54.